	- 1					
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702.784.5200	1	John J. Dabney (pro hac vice forthcoming) District of Columbia Bar No. 480509 Morgan R. Povinelli (pro hac vice forthcoming) District of Columbia Bar No. 1723448 Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. 2001 K Street NW Suite 425 North				
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5	Washington, D.C. 20006				
	6	(202)-908-4260 jdabney@swlaw.com				
	7	mpovinelli@swlaw.com				
	8	Erin M. Gettel				
	9	Nevada Bar No. 13877 Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.				
	10					
		3883 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 1100				
	11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169				
	12	(702)-784-5200 x5329  egettel@swlaw.com  Attorneys for Defendants Thai Nippon Rubber Industry and TNR USA Inc.				
	13					
	14					
	15					
	16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
	17					
	18	GLOBAL PROTECTION CORP.,	Case No. 2:24-cv-00871-JCM-EJY			
	19	Plaintiff,				
	20	V.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO			
	21		EXTEND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT			
	22	THAI NIPPON RUBBER INDUSTRY; and TNR USA INC.,	[ECF No. 1]			
	23	Defendants.	First Request			
	24					
	25					
	26	The Parties request that this Court extend the deadline for Defendant TNR				
		USA Inc. to respond to the Complaint [ECF No. 1] to June 26, 2024, because:				
	27	1. Plaintiff instituted this action on May 9, 2024.				
	28					

1

6

7

10

11

14 15

17

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. On May 10, 2024, Plaintiff served Defendant TNR USA Inc.
- 3. On June 5, 2024, defense counsel was retained to represent both Defendants and notified Plaintiff's counsel of the same. Plaintiff had not yet served foreign Defendant Thai Nippon Rubber Industry.
- 4. The parties agreed that defense counsel would accept service on behalf of Thai Nippon and that both Defendants' response(s) to the Complaint would be due on June 26, 2024, both as a professional courtesy and to avoid conflicting deadlines.
- 5. This is the first stipulation for extension of time to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 6. Defendant TNR's failure to respond by the original deadline of June 3 was the result of excusable neglect, as TNR had not yet retained counsel, but did so only days after the deadline expired.
- 7. There is good cause to extend the response deadline to allow defense counsel sufficient time to prepare and file Defendants' response(s) and to avoid conflicting deadlines between the two Defendants.

- 2 -

	1	8. The Parties agree to the continuance.	
	2 3	DATED: June 18, 2024.	
	4	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.	KAEMPFER CROWELL
	5 6 7	/s/ Erin M. Gettel  By  Erin M. Gettel  3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite	/s/ Louis M. Bubala By Louis M. Bubala III Ellsie E. Lucero
	8 9	1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169	1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650 Las Vegas, NV 89135
	10 11	(pro hac vice forthcoming)ARENTFOX SCHIFF IMorgan R. Povinelli1717 K Street, NW(pro hac vice forthcoming)Washington, DC 20006SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.2001 K Street NWSuite 425 NorthAttorneys for Plaintiff of Protection Corp.Washington, D.C. 20006Protection Corp.	Ross Q. Panko (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) ARENTFOX SCHIFF LLP 1717 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006
Wilmer  ICES  ICES  TRWAY, Suite 1100  at 89169	12 13 14		Attorneys for Plaintiff Global Protection Corp.
Snell & Wilmer LAW OFFICES ARM Hughes Parkway, Suite Las Vegas, Nevala 89169 702.784,5200	15 16	Thai Nippon Rubber Industry; and TNR USA Inc.	
3883	17 18	ORDER	<u>R</u>
	19		IT IS SO ORDERED.
	<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>		Clayra J. Zouchal
	22		United States Magistrate Judge
	<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>		Dated: <u>June 18, 2024</u>
	25		
	26		
	<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>		